arXiv:1304.0936v3 [math.GT] 6 May 2013

On the existence of representations of finitely presented groups in compact Lie groups

Kim A. Frøyshov*

Abstract

Given a finite, connected 2-complex X such that $b_2(X) \leq 1$ we establish two existence results for representations of the fundamental group of X into compact connected Lie groups G, with prescribed values on certain loops. If $b_2(X) = 1$ we assume $G = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and that the cup product on $H^1(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is non-zero.

Keywords: Finitely presented groups; representations; Lie groups; 3-manifolds
AMS classification: 57M07 (primary), 57M20 (secondary)

1 Introduction

In this paper we will prove two existence results for representations of finitely presented groups into compact, connected Lie groups. The results are most elegantly stated when the group is realized as the fundamental group of a finite, connected 2–dimensional CW complex X, as the conditions depend only on the cohomology of X. This viewpoint also reveals the relations to gauge theory on 3-manifolds, in which this work has its origin.

We now state our main results. Throughout the paper we use integral coefficients for (co)homology unless otherwise specified. The j'th Betti number will be denoted b_j.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $b_2(X) = 0$, and suppose $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \pi_1(X)$ map to linearly independent elements of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Q})$, where $r \geq 1$. Then for any compact, connected Lie group G and any $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in G$ there exists a homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to G$ such that $\phi(\gamma_i) = t_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

---

*This work was supported by the QGM (Centre for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces) funded by the Danish National Research Foundation.

A reformulation of the theorem in terms of finitely presented groups is given in Theorem 2.1.

Since the group homology $H_2(\pi_1(X)) = 0$, a theorem of Stallings [17, Theorem 7.4] says that the elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ form a basis for a free subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. This also follows from Theorem 1.1, because SO(3) contains a free subgroup F_2 of rank 2 [19, Theorem 2.1] and F_2 contains free subgroups of any finite rank.

We now describe our second existence theorem. Suppose $b_2(X) = 1$ and let σ be a generator of $H_2(X) \approx \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\mu_0 : H^1(X) \times H^1(X) \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha \cup \beta, \sigma \rangle$$

is bilinear and skew-symmetric, so it defines an element

$$\mu \in \Lambda^2(H_1(X)/T),$$

where $T \subset H_1(X)$ is the torsion subgroup.

The image of an element $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ in $H_1(X)/T$ will be denoted $\bar{\gamma}$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $b_2(X) = 1$, and let $\varpi \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with $\langle \varpi, \sigma \rangle \neq 0$. Suppose $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{r-2} \in \pi_1(X)$ satisfy*

$$\mu \wedge \bar{\gamma}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\gamma}_{r-2} \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

in the exterior product $\Lambda^r(H_1(X)/T)$, where $r \geq 2$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to SO(3)$ such that $\phi(\gamma_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$ and $\omega_2(\phi) = \varpi$. The image of such a homomorphism ϕ is not contained in a maximal torus in $SO(3)$.

The Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega_2(\phi)$ is defined in Section 5. Note that the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2(X) \to H_2(X)$ is zero, because $\mu \neq 0$. Therefore, $H_2(\pi_1(X)) \approx \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.2 will be deduced from the more explicit Theorem 4.1, which concerns representations of free groups in SU(2).

We now describe some relations of this paper to 3-manifold topology. Let Y be a compact, connected, oriented 3-manifold with non-empty boundary. By [5, Prop. 4.2.7 and 4.2.13] Y has a handle decomposition with no 3-handles (and only one 0-handle), and with handles attached in order of increasing index. Hence, Y is homotopy equivalent to a 2-complex X, so Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 apply with Y in place of X. (In fact, the author came across these theorems while studying moduli spaces of Bogomolny monopoles over such manifolds Y.)

Now suppose $b_2(Y) = 1$. Then the boundary ∂Y can have at most two components. The form μ_0 can be thought of as a triple cup product, because if $\sigma' \in H^1(Y, \partial Y)$ is the Poincaré dual of σ (identifying the (co)homology of X and Y) and $[Y] \in H_3(Y, \partial Y)$ is the fundamental class then

$$\langle \alpha \cup \beta, \sigma \rangle = \langle \alpha \cup \beta \cup \sigma', [Y] \rangle.$$

It is not hard to see that if α lies in the image of $H^1(Y, \partial Y) \to H^1(Y)$ then $\iota_\alpha(\mu) = 0$, where ι_α denotes contraction with α. This implies that $\mu = 0$ if ∂Y is connected and $b_1(Y) < 3$.

As an example, let V be an oriented integral homology 3-sphere and L an oriented link in V with components L_1, L_2. Let $Y \subset V$ be the complement of an open tubular neighbourhood of L. Then $b_2(Y) = 1$, and μ is easily computed using the formula (8) in Section 6. Namely, if a, b is any basis for $H_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ then

$$\mu = \pm \mathrm{lk}(L_1, L_2)\, a \wedge b,$$

where lk denotes the linking number. Thus, if the linking number is non-zero then Theorem 1.2 asserts the existence of a flat connection in the non-trivial SO(3) bundle over Y. This instance of the theorem can also be deduced from a result of Harper–Saveliev [9].

It clearly suffices to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $r = b_1(X)$. Whereas the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists in computing the degree of a certain diffeomorphism of G^n, where n is the first Betti number of the 1-skeleton of X, the main point in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to express the quantity

$$|T| \det(\mu \wedge \bar{\gamma}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\gamma}_{r-2})$$

as an intersection number in the space Q_n^* of conjugacy classes of irreducible representations $F_n \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$, where F_n denotes the free group on n generators. This is reminiscent of the definition of Casson's invariant as an intersection number [1]. There are also several examples of invariants of knots or links that can be expressed as intersection numbers, see for instance [13, 4, 8].

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 2. The non-trivial ingredient here is Proposition 2.1, which provides a formula for the degree of the diffeomorphism of G^n defined by an n-tuple of elements of F_n. The remaining sections of the paper are occupied with the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 a collection of submanifolds of Q_n^* is exhibited that represents a basis for the homology group $H_{3n-6}(Q_n^*; \mathbb{Q})$. In Section 4 this is used in combination with the degree formula to compute an intersection number, thereby proving the existence of certain representations $F_n \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Section 5 describes the second Stiefel-Whitney class of a representation $\pi_1(X) \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$

in terms of the cellular homology of X. Section 6 provides a formula for the 2-form μ when the generator σ is represented by a map from a closed surface into X. In Section 7 these ingredients are brought together to prove Theorem 1.2. In the appendix a linearization map is defined on the commutator subgroup of any group whose second rational homology group vanishes; this may shed some light on the formula for μ.

Acknowledgements: I wish to thank J. E. Andersen, M. Bökstedt, R. Penner, N. Saveliev, and G. Subhojoy for valuable conversations and T. Sakasai for helpful correspondence. I am also grateful to S. Krushkal and V. Turaev for making me aware of Stallings' theorem on free subgroups.

2 Representations and degrees

Throughout this section G will denote a non-trivial compact connected Lie group of rank m.

Let F_n be the free group generated by the symbols $y_1, \dots, y_n$. We identify the abelianization of F_n with $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The image of an element $w \in F_n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ will be denoted $\bar{w}$. Each element w of F_n defines a map

$$w_G : G^n \to G.$$

Namely, $w_G(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is the image of w under the unique group homomorphism $F_n \to G$ that maps the generator y_i to g_i.

The following proposition will be essential to the proofs of both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.1 *If* $w_1, \dots, w_n \in F_n$ *then the induced map*

$$f := (w_1)_G \times \cdots \times (w_n)_G : G^n \to G^n$$

has degree $\deg(f) = (\det(\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n))^m$.

The proof will make use of the following simple lemma, whose proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.1 *Let* V *and* W *be closed, oriented smooth manifolds, with* W *connected. Suppose*

$$f : V \times W \to V, \quad g : W \to W$$

are smooth maps and set

$$h : V \times W \to V \times W, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (f(x, y), g(y)).$$

Let $y_0 \in W$ and set $f_0 : V \to V, \quad x \mapsto f(x, y_0)$. Then the degree of h is given by

$$\deg(h) = \deg(f_0) \deg(g). \qquad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1: The proof is divided into six parts.

(i) By a theorem of Hopf [11] (see also [6, p.174]) the p'th power map

$$h_p : G \to G, \quad g \mapsto g^p$$

has degree $\deg(h_p) = p^m$ for every integer p.

(ii) Let the maps $\mu, \mu' : G \times G \to G$ be given by

$$\mu(g, h) = gh, \quad \mu'(g, h) = hg.$$

Then μ and μ' induce the same map in real cohomology. To see this, let

$$\iota = \iota_G : G \to G, \quad g \mapsto g^{-1}.$$

Then $\iota^* x = (-1)^d x$ for any $x \in H^d(G; \mathbb{R})$, since $H^*(G; \mathbb{R})$ can be identified with the algebra of bi-invariant differential forms on G (see [6, p. 163]) and ι induces the antipodal map on the tangent space of G at the identity. Now observe that

$$\mu' = \iota_G \circ \mu \circ \iota_{G \times G}.$$

(iii) Suppose w_i is expressed as a product of four elements of F_n,

$$w_i = w_{i1} w_{i2} w_{i3} w_{i4}.$$

Then it follows from (ii) and the Künneth formula for cohomology that the degree of f does not change if w_i is replaced by $w_{i1} w_{i3} w_{i2} w_{i4}$.

(iv) Given integers i, j, k satisfying $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$ let $u : G^n \to G^n$ be defined by

$$u(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i (g_j)^k, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n). \tag{2}$$

Then u is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism, hence it has degree one.

(v) It is well known that $\dim G \equiv m \mod 2$. Therefore, if $f_\sigma : G^n \to G^n$ is the diffeomorphism defined by permuting the factors of G^n according to a permutation σ then

$$\deg(f_\sigma) = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)^m.$$

(vi) By post-composing f with a product of a finite number of maps of the form (2) and one permutation map f_σ, and by using (iii), one can reduce the proof of the proposition to the case when each w_i is a word in $y_i, \ldots, y_n$ only. For such f the proposition can be proved by induction on n using (i) and Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Theorem 2.1 *Let H be a group with generators $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and relations $w_1, \ldots, w_s$, where $\bar{w}_1, \ldots, \bar{w}_s$ are linearly independent. Let $A := H/[H,H]$ be the abelianization of H. If $h_1, \ldots, h_r \in H$ map to linearly independent elements of $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ then for any $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in G$ there exists a homomorphism $\phi : H \to G$ such that $\phi(h_i) = t_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

Proof. We may assume $r = \operatorname{rank}(A)$. Choose a lift $z_i \in F_n$ of h_i for each i and let

$$f : G^n \to G^n$$

be the map defined by the n elements $w_1, \ldots, w_s, z_1, \ldots, z_r \in F_n$ as in Proposition 2.1. Then the set of homomorphisms ϕ as in the theorem can be identified with $f^{-1}(1, \ldots, 1, t_1, \ldots, t_r)$. Thus, it suffices to show that f has non-zero degree, since this implies that f is surjective.

Let N be the kernel of the projection $\pi : F_n \to H$, and let K be the kernel of the induced homomorphism $\tau : \mathbb{Z}^n \to A$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sigma'"'] & F_n \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sigma''"'] & 1 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}^n \arrow[r, "\tau"] & A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where σ, σ'' are the abelianization maps. Because π maps the commutator subgroup of F_n onto the commutator subgroup of H, the map σ' is surjective. But this just means that K is generated by $\bar{w}_1, \ldots, \bar{w}_s$, hence these elements form a basis for K.

By the elementary divisors theorem [12] there is a basis $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ for $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and integers $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ such that $p_1 b_1, \ldots, p_s b_s$ is a basis for K. This implies that $b_{s+1}, \ldots, b_n$ map to a basis for A/T, where T is the torsion subgroup of A, and

$$\bar{w}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{w}_s = \pm p_1 \cdots p_s b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n = \pm |T| b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_n.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg(f) &= (\det(\bar{w}_1, \ldots, \bar{w}_s, \bar{z}_1, \ldots, \bar{z}_r))^m \\
&= \pm(|T| \det(b_1, \ldots, b_s, \bar{z}_1, \ldots, \bar{z}_r))^m \\
&= \pm(|T| \det(\bar{h}_1, \ldots \bar{h}_r))^m \\
&\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{h}$ denotes the image in A/T of an element $h \in H$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0$$

be the cellular chain complex of X, so that $C_k = H_k(X^k, X^{k-1})$, where X^k is the k-skeleton of X. Then X^1 is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum $\vee_n S^1$ of n circles for some $n \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in X^1$ and $d_0 \in S^1$ be base-points and fix a basis $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ for the free group $\pi_1(X^1, x_0)$.

Let the 2-cells in X be numbered from 1 to s. For $i = 1, \ldots, s$ choose a base-point preserving map $\ell_i : S^1 \to X^1$ that is homotopic to the attaching map of the i'th 2-cell. Let $w_i \in \pi_1(X^1, x_0) = F_n$ be the class represented by ℓ_i. Then $H := \pi_1(X, x_0)$ has the presentation

$$H = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \,|\, w_1, \ldots, w_s \rangle.$$

Moreover, if $a_i \in C_2$ is the generator corresponding to the i'th 2-cell then

$$\partial a_i = \bar{w}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n = H_1(X^1),$$

where we identify $H_1(X^1)$ with its image in $H_1(X^1, X^0)$. Thus, the assumption $b_2(X) = 0$ means precisely that $\bar{w}_1, \ldots, \bar{w}_s$ are linearly independent, so the theorem follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

3 Homology and intersection numbers

This section is concerned with the homology of certain quotient spaces Q_n^* associated to the Lie group Sp(1) of unit quaternions. (Of course, Sp(1) $\approx$ SU(2).)

We begin by explaining our orientation conventions. If W is an oriented manifold and $Z \subset W$ an oriented submanifold then the normal bundle NZ will be oriented so that for any Euclidean metric in the tangent bundle TW the isomorphism

$$TW|_Z = NZ \oplus TZ$$

preserves orientations. If V is another smooth oriented manifold and $f : V \to W$ is transverse to Z then the submanifold $f^{-1}Z$ will be oriented such that the isomorphism $N(f^{-1}Z) \to f^*(NZ)$ preserves orientations. Given a smooth fibre bundle $\pi : P \to V$ where P is oriented as manifold, the fibres F of π will be oriented such that for any Euclidean metric in TP the isomorphism

$$TP|_F = TF \oplus \pi^*(TV)|_F$$

preserves orientations. The latter convention prevents some annoying signs. In particular, if $p \in F$ is a regular value of $f : V \to F$ and s the corresponding section of the product bundle $F \times V \to V$ then $f^{-1}(p) = s^{-1}(\{p\} \times V)$ as oriented manifolds.

We shall need an extension of the notion of intersection number defined in [7]. Let V, W, Z be as above. Suppose Z is closed as a subset of W and that

$$\dim V + \dim Z = \dim W.$$

For any smooth map $f : V \to W$ such that $f^{-1}Z$ is compact we define the intersection number $I(f, Z)$ as follows. Let $g : V \to W$ be any smooth map transverse to Z such that g is homotopic to f relative to a compact subset of V. Then we set

$$I(f, Z) := \#g^{-1}Z,$$

where # denotes the number of points counted with sign. If V is in fact a submanifold of W and f is the inclusion map then we define the intersection number $V \cdot Z := I(f, Z)$.

Throughout this section we will use the notation

$$G := \mathrm{Sp}(1), \quad G' := \mathrm{Sp}(1)/\pm 1 = \mathrm{SO}(3). \tag{3}$$

For any natural number n we define a right G'–space P_n as follows. Let $P_n := G^n$ as smooth, oriented manifold, and let $g \in G$ act on P_n by conjugation with g^{-1} in each factor. Since $-1 \in G$ acts trivially, this right action of G descends to a right action of G' on P_n. Let P_n^* be the open subset of P_n consisting of those points in P_n that have trivial stabilizer in G'. Since P_n is Hausdorff and G' is compact, the quotient space

$$Q_n := P_n/G'$$

is Hausdorff. Let Q_n^* be the image of P_n^* in Q_n, which is an open subset of Q_n. Then Q_n^* has a canonical smooth structure such that $\pi : P_n^* \to Q_n^*$ is a principal G'–bundle. It is easy to see that Q_2^* is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$. Each fibre of π inherits an orientation from G', and since G' is connected, the base manifold Q_n^* is orientable. Let Q_n^* have the orientation compatible with the orientations of the total space and fibres of π as stipulated above.

Proposition 3.1 $b_{3n-6}(Q_n^*) = n(n-1)/2$.

Proof. In this proof we use real coefficients for (co)homology. The proposition clearly holds for $n = 2$, so let $n \geq 3$. Set

$$R_n := P_n \setminus P_n^*,$$

so that R_n consists of those n-tuples of elements from G such that all elements are contained in the same maximal torus. We will show that

$$b_{3n-6}(Q_n^*) = b_{3n-3}(P_n^*) = b_2(R_n) = n(n-1)/2.$$

The last equality is a special case of results by Baird [2], but we include a direct proof here for completeness. Identifying S^2 with the unit sphere in the space of pure quaternions (ie quaternions with zero real part) we have a surjective map

$$\chi_n : S^2 \times T^n \to R_n, \quad (x, z) \mapsto (\mathrm{Re}(z_j) + \mathrm{Im}(z_j) \cdot x)_{j=1,\dots,n},$$

where $T^n := U(1)^n$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Clearly, χ_n factors through a map $\tilde{R}_n \to R_n$, where

$$\tilde{R}_n := (S^2 \times T^n)/(x, z) \sim (-x, z^{-1}),$$

which is a smooth manifold. For each $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ let $B_\epsilon \approx \mathbb{RP}^2$ be the image of $S^2 \times \{\epsilon\} \subset S^2 \times T^n$ in R^n. Then R_n is obtained from $\tilde{R}_n$ by collapsing each B_ϵ to a point x_ϵ, where $x_\epsilon \neq x_{\epsilon'}$ if $\epsilon \neq \epsilon'$. Let N be a closed tubular neighbourhood of $B := \cup_\epsilon B_\epsilon$ in $\tilde{R}_n$ and set $Z := \tilde{R}_n \setminus \mathrm{int}(N)$. Because each B_ϵ is a rational homology ball it follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for (N, Z) and the image of (N, Z) in R_n that the projection $\tilde{R}_n \to R_n$ induces an isomorphism

$$H_k(\tilde{R}_n) \overset{\approx}{\to} H_k(R_n)$$

for each k. Now, $H^*(\tilde{R}_n)$ is isomorphic to the 1-eigenspace of the endomorphism of $H^*(S^2 \times T^n)$ induced by the involution $(x, z) \to (-x, z^{-1})$. This yields in particular

$$b_2(R_n) = n(n-1)/2.$$

Using the fact that $\chi_1 : S^2 \times S^1 \to R_1 = S^3$ has degree 2, one finds that the inclusion $R_n \to P_n$ induces a surjection $H_3(R_n) \to H_3(P_n)$. Since $H_2(P_n) = 0$ the homology sequence of the pair (P_n, R_n) shows that the connecting homomorphism

$$H_3(P_n, R_n) \overset{\approx}{\to} H_2(R_n)$$

is an isomorphism.

Now observe that P_n and R_n are real algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$. This is obvious for P_n. To see that it holds for R_n, note that

$$R_n = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in P_n \mid g_p g_q = g_q g_p \text{ for } 1 \leq p < q \leq n.\}$$

Therefore, by [10] both P_n and R_n admit triangulations. (In the case of P_n this is of course elementary.) By [16, Cor. 6.1.11 and Thm. 6.2.17] we have a Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$H^3(P_n, R_n) \approx H_{3n-3}(P_n^*).$$

We now apply the homology spectral sequence of the fibration $P_n^* \to Q_n^*$. Since P_n is simply-connected and

$$\dim\, P_n - \dim(S^2 \times T^n) = 2n - 2 \geq 4,$$

one can show by a transversality argument that $P_n^* = P_n \setminus \chi_n(S^2 \times T^n)$ is simply-connected. Because the fibre G' of the bundle $P_n^* \to Q_n^*$ is path-connected, we conclude that Q_n^* is simply-connected. Hence the fibration is orientable and the E^2-page of the spectral sequence is

$$E^2_{p,q} \approx H_p(Q_n^*; H_q(G')).$$

Since Q_n^* is a non-compact $(3n-3)$-manifold, we have $H_{3n-3}(Q_n^*) = 0$. Therefore,

$$H_{3n-3}(P_n^*) \approx E^\infty_{3n-6,3} \approx E^2_{3n-6,3} \approx H_{3n-6}(Q_n^*)$$

and the proposition is proved. $\square$

For each pair p, q of integers satisfying $1 \leq p < q \leq n$ we have a G'–equivariant embedding

$$P_2 \to P_n, \quad (g, h) \mapsto (1, \ldots, 1, g, 1, \ldots, 1, h, 1, \ldots, 1), \tag{4}$$

where g and h appear in the p'th and q'th place, resp. This map induces a topological embedding $I_{p,q} : Q_2 \to Q_n$ that restricts to a smooth embedding $Q_2^* \to Q_n^*$ whose image we denote by $W_{p,q}$. Clearly, $W_{p,q} = I_{p,q}(Q_2) \cap Q_n^*$ is a closed subset of Q_n^*. Letting i, j denote the usual anti-commuting quaternions for the time being, the composition of the map

$$\begin{aligned} J_{p,q} : P_{n-2} &\to P_n^*, \\ (g_1, \ldots, g_{n-2}) &\mapsto (g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}, i, g_p, \ldots, g_{q-2}, j, g_{q-1}, \ldots, g_{n-2}). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with the projection $P_n^* \to Q_n^*$ is a smooth embedding $P_{n-2} \to Q_n^*$ whose image we denote by $V'_{p,q}$. Let $W_{p,q}$ and $V'_{p,q}$ have the orientations inherited from Q_2^* and P_{n-2}, resp.

For each positive integer m let G act on G^m by conjugation in each factor. This (left) action descends to an action of G' on G^m and we have an associated bundle of Lie groups

$$E_{m,n} := P_n^* \underset{G'}{\times} G^m \to Q_n^*.$$

Because the action of G' on G^m preserves orientations, each fibre of $E_{m,n}$ inherits an orientation from G^m. Since the base space Q_n^* is oriented, we get an induced orientation on the total space $E_{m,n}$ by the above convention. The fixed-point $-1 \in G$ gives rise to a section of $E_{1,n}$ whose image we denote by $-\mathbf{1}$.

For p, q as above the commutator map

$$P_n \to G, \quad (g_1, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto [g_p, g_q]$$

is G'–equivariant (in the sense that it intertwines the right action of h^{-1} on P_n^* with the left action of h on G, for $h \in G'$) and therefore defines a section $\zeta_{p,q}$ of $E_{1,n}$. At this point we recall the following well known facts about G:

Lemma 3.1 **(i)** *Two unit quaternions anti-commute if and only if their real parts vanish and their imaginary parts are orthogonal.*

(ii) $1 \in G$ *is the only singular value of the commutator map*

$$P_2 \to G, \quad (g, h) \mapsto [g, h]. \qquad \square$$

It follows from the second part of the lemma that $\zeta_{p,q}$ is transverse to $-\mathbf{1}$. Set

$$V_{p,q} := \zeta_{p,q}^{-1}(-\mathbf{1}) \subset Q_n^*$$

as oriented manifold.

Lemma 3.2 $V_{1,2} \subset Q_2^*$ *consists of a single point, which is positively oriented.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 (i) we see that $V_{1,2}$ consists of a single point. The sign can be determined by an explicit computation, which we omit. $\square$

Proposition 3.2 *In* Q_n^* *the following hold:*

(i) *The submanifolds* $W_{p,q}$ *and* $V_{p,q}$ *intersect transversely in a single point, which is positively oriented.*

(ii) *$W_{p,q}$ and $V_{p',q'}$ are disjoint for $(p,q) \neq (p',q')$.*

(iii) *$V'_{p,q} = (-1)^{p+q+1} V_{p,q}$.*

Proof. Part (i) is a consequence of Lemma 3.2, whereas (ii) is trivial. Lemma 3.1 (i) implies that $V'_{p,q} = V_{p,q}$ as smooth manifolds. On the other hand, one easily checks that $W_{p,q} \cdot V'_{p,q} = (-1)^{p+q+1}$, proving (iii). □

It follows from the proposition that the $V_{p,q}$'s represent linearly independent classes in $H_{3n-6}(Q^*_n; \mathbb{Q})$. Combining this with Proposition 3.1 we obtain

Corollary 3.1 *The oriented submanifolds $\{V_{p,q}\}_{1 \leq p < q \leq n}$ of Q^*_n represent a basis for $H_{3n-6}(Q^*_n; \mathbb{Q})$.*

Now let $n = 2\rho$, where ρ is a positive integer. The G'–equivariant map

$$c_\rho : P_{2\rho} \to G, \quad (g_1, \ldots, g_{2\rho}) \mapsto \prod_{\ell=1}^{\rho} [g_\ell, g_{\ell+\rho}]$$

defines a section s_ρ of $E_{1,2\rho}$, which is transverse to $-\mathbf{1}$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). Set

$$M_\rho := s_\rho^{-1}(-\mathbf{1}) \subset Q^*_{2\rho}$$

as oriented manifold. Because $c_\rho^{-1}(-1)$ is a compact subset of P^*_n, the space M_ρ is compact.

The spaces M_ρ have been the subject of much research, see for instance [18] and the references therein. The following basic result might be known, but we have been unable to find a reference.

Proposition 3.3 *The class in $H_{6\rho-6}(Q^*_{2\rho}; \mathbb{Q})$ represented by M_ρ is given by*

$$[M_\rho] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} [V_{\ell,\ell+\rho}].$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$W_{\ell,\ell+\rho} \cdot M_\rho = 1,$$

and one clearly has $W_{p,q} \cap M_\rho = \emptyset$ unless $(p,q) = (\ell, \ell+\rho)$ for some ℓ. The proposition now follows from Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. □

4 Representations and commutators

We will now use the results of Section 3 to prove an existence theorem for representations of free groups into Sp(1), from which we will deduce Theorem 1.2.

Suppose $v_0, \dots, v_k$ are elements of the free group F_n such that v_0 is a product of commutators,

$$v_0 = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\rho} [u_\ell, u_{\ell+\rho}], \tag{6}$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_{2\rho} \in F_n$. Set $L := \mathbb{Z}^n$ and

$$\lambda := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \bar{u}_\ell \wedge \bar{u}_{\ell+\rho} \in \Lambda^2 L.$$

In the appendix it is shown that λ is in fact determined by v_0, but we will not need this fact. Let $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $k \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_0 = -1$.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose*

$$\lambda \wedge \bar{v}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_k \neq 0 \quad \textit{in } \Lambda^{k+2} L.$$

Then there exists a homomorphism $\psi : F_n \to Sp(1)$ *satisfying*

$$\psi(v_i) = \epsilon_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, k.$$

As an application, let H be the group with generators $y_1, \dots, y_n$ and relations $v_0, \dots, v_k$. Then ψ induces a homomorphism $\phi : H \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$ such that

- the image of ϕ is not contained in a maximal torus of SO(3),
- ϕ does not lift to a homomorphism $H \to \mathrm{Sp}(1)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: We may assume $k = n - 2$. The set of equivalence classes of such representations ψ form a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset Q_n$. In fact, because v_0 is a product of commutators we have $\mathcal{R} \subset Q_n^*$. We will express $\mathcal{R}$ in a different way, making use of (6). Let $f_0 : Q_n \to Q_{2\rho}$ be the map defined by $u_1, \dots, u_{2\rho}$. Then $U := f_0^{-1} Q_{2\rho}^*$ is an open subset of Q_n^*. Then

$$f := f_0|_U : U \to Q_{2\rho}^*$$

is a proper map. The elements $(v_1, \ldots, v_{n-2})$ define a G'-equivariant map

$$h : P_n \to G^{n-2}$$

which in turn determines a section ξ_0 of $E_{n-2,n}$. Set $\xi := \xi_0|_U$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the image of the section of $E_{n-2,n}$ corresponding to the fixed-point $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-2})$ of G^{n-2}. Then

$$\mathcal{R} = (f \times \xi)^{-1}(M_\rho \times \mathcal{E}).$$

We will show that the intersection number

$$\kappa := I(f \times \xi, M_\rho \times \mathcal{E})$$

is non-zero, which will imply that $\mathcal{R}$ is non-empty. Note that the intersection number is indeed well-defined, since M_ρ and $\mathcal{E}$ are closed subsets of $Q^*_{2\rho}$ and $E_{n-2,n}$ resp. and $f^{-1}M_\rho$ is a compact subset of U.

Proposition 4.1 $\kappa = \det(\lambda \wedge \bar{v}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_{n-2}) \neq 0$.

Proof. Choose a smooth map $f_1 : U \to Q^*_{2\rho}$ that is transverse to M_ρ and that is homotopic to f relative to a compact subset of U. Then $N := f_1^{-1}M_\rho$ is a compact, oriented $(3n-6)$-dimensional submanifold of U. Choose a smooth section ξ_1 of $E_{n-2,n}|_U$ such that $\xi_1|_N$ is transverse to $\mathcal{E}$ and ξ_1 is homotopic to ξ relative to a compact subset of U. Then $f_1 \times \xi_1$ is transverse to $M_\rho \times \mathcal{E}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \#(f_1 \times \xi_1)^{-1}(M_\rho \times \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \#(\xi_1|_N)^{-1}\mathcal{E} \\ &= I(\xi|_N, \mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

For any $v \in F_n$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$ let $\deg_p(v)$ denote the p'th component of $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Lemma 4.1 *The class in $H_{3n-6}(Q^*_n; \mathbb{Q})$ represented by N is given by*

$$[N] = \sum_{p<q} c_{p,q}[V_{p,q}], \tag{7}$$

where

$$c_{p,q} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} [\deg_p(u_\ell)\deg_q(u_{\ell+\rho}) - \deg_q(u_\ell)\deg_p(u_{\ell+\rho})].$$

Proof. That $[N]$ can be expressed in the form (7) follows from Corollary 3.1. Set $W^-_{p,q} := W_{p,q} \cap U$. Then $f_{p,q} := f|_{W^-_{p,q}} : W^-_{p,q} \to Q^*_{2\rho}$ is a proper map and

$$c_{p,q} = W_{p,q} \cdot N = W^-_{p,q} \cdot N = I(f_{p,q}, M_\rho) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} I(f_{p,q}, V_{\ell,\ell+\rho}).$$

Let $\psi_{p,q,\ell} : P_2 \to P_2$ be the composition of the embedding $P_2 \to P_n$ in (4) with the map $P_n \to P_2$ defined by $(u_\ell, u_{\ell+\rho})$. From Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(f_{p,q}, V_{\ell,\ell+\rho}) &= \deg(\psi_{p,q,\ell}) \\ &= \deg_p(u_\ell)\deg_q(u_{\ell+\rho}) - \deg_q(u_\ell)\deg_p(u_{\ell+\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the first of the above two equalities we choose a smooth map $b : W^-_{p,q} \to Q^*_{2\rho}$ transverse to $V_{\ell,\ell+\rho}$ such that b is homotopic to $f_{p,q}$ relative to a compact subset of $W^-_{p,q}$. We then observe that any such homotopy can be lifted to a G'-equivariant smooth map $B : P^*_n|_{W^-_{p,q}} \times [0,1] \to P^*_{2\rho}$ such that $B(\,\cdot\,, 0)$ is the map induced by $u_1, \dots, u_{2\rho}$. $\square$

Returning to the proof of Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa = I(\xi|_N, \mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{p<q} c_{p,q} I(\xi|_{V_{p,q}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \sum_{p<q} (-1)^{p+q+1} c_{p,q} I(\xi|_{V'_{p,q}}, \mathcal{E}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from Proposition 3.2 (iii). Set

$$V := \bar{v}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_{n-2}.$$

Because $E_{n-2,n}|_{V'_{p,q}}$ is trivial we have

$$I(\xi|_{V'_{p,q}}, \mathcal{E}) = \deg(h \circ J_{p,q}) = (-1)^{p+q+1} \det(e_p \wedge e_q \wedge V),$$

where $J_{p,q} : P_{n-2} \to P^*_n$ is the map in (5) and $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is the standard basis for $L = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus,

$$\kappa = \sum_{p<q} c_{p,q} \det(e_p \wedge e_q \wedge V) = \det(\lambda \wedge V). \qquad \square$$

5 The second Stiefel–Whitney class of an SO(3) representation

Let X be a finite, connected CW-complex with base-point x_0, and let

$$\phi : H := \pi_1(X, x_0) \to \mathrm{SO}(3)$$

be any homomorphism. Let $\tilde{X} \to X$ be the universal covering. A choice of base-point in $\tilde{X}$ lying above x_0 makes $\tilde{X}$ a principal H-bundle, so we can associate to ϕ an SO(3)-bundle $E_\phi \to X$, whose second Stiefel-Whitney class we denote by $\omega_2(\phi) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. The aim of this section is to describe $\omega_2(\phi)$ in terms of its value on any element of $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. (A description of $\omega_2(\phi)$ in terms of group cohomology can be found in [15, Section 3.1].)

We will use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, so in particular, C_* denotes the cellular chain complex of X. Let $c = \sum_i a_i \otimes c_i$ be any cycle in $C_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$, where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. For each i choose a lift $q_i \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ of $\phi(y_i) \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, and let $\psi : F_n \to \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ be the unique homomorphism such that $\psi(y_i) = q_i$. Then $\psi(w_i) = \pm 1$ for each i.

Proposition 5.1 *Let δ denote the group isomorphism $\{\pm 1\} \to \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then*

$$\langle \omega_2(\phi), [c] \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i \delta(\psi(w_i)).$$

Here $[c] \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ denotes the homology class of c. The proposition holds more generally for SO(N) representations, $N \geq 3$, if one replaces Sp(1) by Spin(N).

Proof. Let J be the set of indices $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $c_i = 1$, and let $d_0 \in S^1 = \partial D^2$ be a base-point as before. Choose an embedding $h : D^2 \times J \to \mathrm{int}(D^2)$ and a map $g : D^2 \to X$ such that $g(d_0) = x_0$ and the following properties hold:

- g maps the complement of the image of h into X^1,
- for each $i \in J$ the map $g(h(\cdot, i)) : D^2 \to X$ is the characteristic map of the i'th 2-cell of X,
- $g|_{S^1}$ represents the element $\prod_{i \in J} w_i$ of $\pi_1(X^1, x_0)$, where the product is taken according to some ordering of J.

Let Y be the result of attaching a 2-cell to X with $g|_{S^1}$ as attaching map. Let $f : Y \to X$ be the cellular map obtained by combining g with the inclusion $X^1 \to X$. Then $f_* : H_2(Y;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_2(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ maps the non-zero element of $H_2(Y;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ to $[c]$. Set $K := \pi_1(Y, x_0)$ and let γ denote the composite homomorphism

$$K \overset{f_*}{\to} H \overset{\phi}{\to} \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

Let $\tilde{Y} \to Y$ be the universal covering, and choose a base-point in $\tilde{Y}$ lying above x_0. The base-point preserving map $\tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X}$ covering f is equivariant with respect to $f_* : K \to H$ and induces an isomorphism $E_\gamma \to f^* E_\phi$. It is now easy to verify the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \omega_2(\phi), [c] \rangle = 0 &\iff \omega_2(\gamma) = 0 \\
&\iff E_\gamma \text{ lifts to an } \mathrm{Sp}(1) \text{ bundle} \\
&\iff \gamma \text{ lifts to a homomorphism } K \to \mathrm{Sp}(1) \\
&\iff \psi(\textstyle\prod_{i \in J} w_i) = 1 \\
&\iff \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i \delta(\psi(w_i)) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the fourth equivalence we used the fact that $\psi(\prod_{i \in J} w_i)$ is independent of the choice of the lifts q_i since c is a cycle. $\square$

Proposition 5.2 *If the image of ϕ is contained in a maximal torus of SO(3), then $\langle \omega_2(\phi), z \rangle = 0$ for every $z \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let z be represented by a cycle $c = \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i a_i$ in C_2, where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $w := \prod_i (w_i)^{c_i} \in F_n$ is a product of commutators. Since ψ takes values in a maximal torus of Sp(1), we have $\psi(w) = 1$, so

$$0 = \delta(\psi(w)) = \sum_i c_i \delta(\psi(w_i)) = \langle \omega_2(\phi), z \rangle. \qquad \square$$

6 A formula for μ

We now give a formula for the 2-form μ in Theorem 1.2 that is useful for computations. Suppose the generator $\sigma \in H_2(X)$ is represented by a map $f : \Sigma \to X$, where Σ is a closed, connected surface of genus ρ. Let $b_1, \ldots, b_{2\rho}$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(\Sigma)$, so for $1 \le i < j \le 2\rho$ one has the intersection numbers

$$b_i \cdot b_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i + \rho, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(\Sigma)$ one easily checks that

$$\langle \alpha \cup \beta, [\Sigma] \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \left[\langle \alpha, b_\ell \rangle \langle \beta, b_{\ell+\rho} \rangle - \langle \beta, b_\ell \rangle \langle \alpha, b_{\ell+\rho} \rangle \right].$$

Letting $\tilde{b}_j$ denote the image of $f_*(b_j)$ in $H_1(X)/T$ we obtain

$$\langle \alpha \cup \beta, \sigma \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \left[\langle \alpha, \tilde{b}_\ell \rangle \langle \beta, \tilde{b}_{\ell+\rho} \rangle - \langle \beta, \tilde{b}_\ell \rangle \langle \alpha, \tilde{b}_{\ell+\rho} \rangle \right],$$

so that

$$\mu = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho} \tilde{b}_\ell \wedge \tilde{b}_{\ell+\rho}. \tag{8}$$

7 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We may assume $r = b_1(X)$. If ϕ is a homomorphism as in the theorem then by Proposition 5.2 the image of ϕ cannot be contained in a maximal torus. We now prove the existence of ϕ. We continue using the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 1.1, except that we now assume the 2-cells of X are numbered from 0 to s, with corresponding generators $a_0, \ldots, a_s$ of C_2, so that H has the presentation

$$H = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \,|\, w_0, \ldots, w_s \rangle.$$

We begin by modifying the relations in this presentation. Choose an invertible integral matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le s} \in \mathrm{GL}_{s+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ with

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s} m_{i0} a_i = \sigma.$$

Choose an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_{s+1})$ that maps to M under the canonical surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(F_{s+1}) \to \mathrm{GL}_{s+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $p : F_{s+1} \to F_n$ be the unique homomorphism with $p(y_i) = w_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, s$, and set

$$w_i' := p(\alpha(y_i)), \quad i = 0, \ldots, s. \tag{9}$$

Thus, each w_i' can be expressed as a polynomial in $w_0, \ldots, w_s$, and conversely, each w_i is a polynomial in $w_0', \ldots, w_s'$. Hence, we have the new presentation

$$H = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n \,|\, w_0', \ldots, w_s' \rangle.$$

Choose $\eta_0, \ldots, \eta_s \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that for any cycle $c = \sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i \otimes c_i$ in $C_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ one has

$$\langle \varpi, c \rangle = \sum_i c_i \eta_i.$$

For $j = 0, \ldots, s$ set

$$\epsilon_j := \delta^{-1}(\sum_i m_{ij}\eta_i) \in \{\pm 1\},$$

where δ is as in Proposition 5.1. Then

$$\epsilon_0 = \delta^{-1}\langle \varpi, \sigma \rangle = -1.$$

Lemma 7.1 *If $\psi : F_n \to Sp(1)$ is any homomorphism such that $\psi(w'_j) = \epsilon_j$ for each j then the induced homomorphism $\phi : H \to SO(3)$ satisfies $\omega_2(\phi) = \varpi$.*

Proof. For each j one has

$$\sum_i m_{ij}\eta_i = \delta(\psi(w'_j) = \sum_i m_{ij}\delta(\psi(w_i)),$$

hence $\eta_i = \delta(\psi(w_i))$ and the lemma follows from Proposition 5.1. $\square$

Abelianization of the equation (9) yields

$$\overline{w'_j} = \sum_i m_{ij}\bar{w}_i.$$

In particular,

$$\overline{w'_0} = \partial\sigma = 0,$$

so w'_0 is a product of commutators, ie

$$w'_0 = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\rho}[u_\ell, u_{\ell+\rho}]$$

for some $u_1, \ldots, u_{2\rho} \in F_n$.

We now express μ in terms of the u_ℓ's. First recall that the oriented model surface Σ_ρ of genus ρ is obtained from D^2 by pairwise identification of certain segments of S^1. These segments parametrize 2ρ loops in Σ_ρ that in turn represent a symplectic basis $b_1, \ldots, b_{2\rho}$ for $H_1(\Sigma_\rho)$. Choose a map $f : \Sigma_\rho \to X$ representing the generator $\sigma \in H_2(X)$ such that, in the notation introduced in Section 6, the class $\tilde{b}_\ell \in H_1(X)/T$ is the one represented by

u_ℓ, for $\ell = 1, \ldots, 2\rho$. (Compare the map g in the proof of Proposition 5.1.) Then μ is given by the formula (8).

In the following we use the notation of Section 3. For $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$ let $z_i \in F_n$ be a lift of $\gamma_i \in H$. To prove the theorem it suffices, by Lemma 7.1, to find a representation $\psi : F_n \to G = \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ such that

$$\psi(w'_j) = \epsilon_j, \quad \psi(z_i) = 1$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, r-2$ and $j = 0, \ldots, s$. To this end we will apply Theorem 4.1 with $k = n-2$ and

$$(v_0, \ldots, v_{n-2}) = (w'_0, \ldots, w'_s, z_1, \ldots, z_{r-2})$$

and $\epsilon_j = 1$ for $j > s$. Recall that we have fixed a basis for the free group $\pi_1(X^1, x_0)$, so we can identify $H_1(X^1) = L = \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let K denote the subgroup of L spanned by the linearly independent elements $\overline{w'_1}, \ldots, \overline{w'_s}$. By the elementary divisors theorem we can find a basis $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ for L and integers $m_1, \ldots, m_s$ such that $\{m_i d_i\}_{1 \le i \le s}$ is a basis for K. This implies that $\{d_i\}_{s+1 \le i \le n}$ maps to a basis for $A_0 := H_1(X)/T$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\lambda \wedge \bar{v}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_{n-2}) &= \pm|T| \det(\lambda \wedge d_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d_s \wedge \bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{z}_{r-2}) \\ &= \pm|T| \det(\mu \wedge \bar{\gamma}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\gamma}_{r-2}) \\ &\neq 0,\end{aligned}$$

since the natural map $L \to A_0$ takes $\bar{z}_i$ to $\bar{\gamma}_i$ and the induced map $\Lambda^2 L \to \Lambda^2 A_0$ takes λ to μ. Theorem 4.1 now guarantees the existence of the desired representation ψ. This proves Theorem 1.2. $\square$

A Commutators and group homology

The purpose of this appendix is to shed some light on the term λ in Theorem 4.1. Let G be any group and $H_*(G)$ its group homology with integer coefficients. Let $H_*(G; \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be the homology with rational coefficients. We identify $H_1(G)$ with the abelianization of G. The image of an element $x \in G$ in $H_1(G; \mathbb{Q})$ will be denoted $\check{x}$. Let $[G, G]$ be the commutator subgroup of G.

Proposition A.1 *If $H_2(G)$ is a torsion group (or equivalently, if $H_2(G; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$) then there exists a group homomorphism*

$$\alpha : [G, G] \to \Lambda^2 H_1(G; \mathbb{Q}) \tag{10}$$

that sends any commutator $[x, y]$ to $\check{x} \wedge \check{y}$.

If $H_2(G) = 0$ then the proposition also holds if $H_1(G;\mathbb{Q})$ is replaced by $H_1(G)$ in (10).

Proof. We use Miller's description [14] (see also [3]) of $H_2(G)$ in terms of commutators. Let $\langle G, G\rangle$ be the free group on all pairs $\langle x, y\rangle$ with $x, y \in G$. Let $Z(G)$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $\langle G, G\rangle \to [G, G]$ that sends $\langle x, y\rangle$ to $[x, y]$. Miller shows that

$$H_2(G) \approx Z(G)/B(G),$$

where $B(G)$ is the normal subgroup of $\langle G, G\rangle$ generated by a certain subset E. Now let

$$\beta : \langle G, G\rangle \to \Lambda^2 H_1(G;\mathbb{Q})$$

be the homomorphism that sends $\langle x, y\rangle$ to $\check{x} \wedge \check{y}$. It is easily verified that β vanishes on E, hence on $B(G)$. Since $Z(G)/B(G)$ is a torsion group while $\Lambda^2 H_1(G;\mathbb{Q})$ is torsion free we conclude that β vanishes on $Z(G)$. Hence β descends to a homomorphism α as in the proposition. □

Now let G be the free group F_n. Then $H_2(G) = 0$, so in the notation of Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\lambda = \alpha(v_0).$$

References

[1] S. Akbulut and J. D. McCarthy. *Casson's invariant for oriented homology* 3*-spheres. An exposition.* Princeton University Press, 1990.

[2] Th. J. Baird. Cohomology of the space of commuting n-tuples in a compact Lie group. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:737–754, 2007.

[3] G. J. Ellis. Non-abelian exterior products of groups and exact sequences in the homology of groups. *Glasgow Math. J*, 29:13–19, 1987.

[4] C. Frohman and A. Nicas. An intersection homology invariant for knots in a rational homology 3-sphere. *Topology*, 33:123–158, 1994.

[5] R. E. Gompf and A. I. Stipsicz. 4*-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, volume 20 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics.* American Mathematical Society, 1999.

[6] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone. *Connections, Curvature, and Cohomology*, volume II. Academic Press, 1973.

[7] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack. *Differential Topology.* Prentice-Hall, 1974.

[8] E. Harper and N. Saveliev. A Casson-Lin type invariant for links. *Pacific J. Math.*, 248:139–154, 2010.

[9] E. Harper and N. Saveliev. Instanton Floer homology for two-component links. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 21(5), 2012.

[10] H. Hironaka. Triangulations of algebraic sets. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 29:165–185, 1975.

[11] H. Hopf. Über den Rang geschlossener Liescher Gruppen. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 13:119–143, 1940-41.

[12] S. Lang. *Algebra.* Addison Wesley, third edition, 1993.

[13] Xiao-Song Lin. A knot invariant via representation spaces. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 35:337–357, 1992.

[14] C. Miller. The second homology group of a group; relations among commutators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:588–595, 1952.

[15] D. Ruberman and N. Saveliev. Rohlin's invariant and gauge theory, I. Homology 3-tori. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 79:618–646, 2004.

[16] E. H. Spanier. *Algebraic Topology. Corrected reprint.* Springer, 1981.

[17] J. Stallings. Homology and central series of groups. *J. Algebra*, 2:170–181, 1965.

[18] M. Thaddeus. A perfect Morse function on the moduli space of flat connections. *Topology*, 39:773–787, 2000.

[19] S. Wagon. *The Banach-Tarski Paradox.* Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Centre for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces,
Ny Munkegade 118,
DK-8000 Aarhus C,
Denmark

Email: froyshov@qgm.au.dk